Town of Lincoln

TRAFFIC CALMING STUDY

Responses to RFP questions posted from consultants_ 11_29_23

1.Can you elaborate on the extent of traffic data / Traffic Study this study should include? Is it anticipated that this study would include traffic modeling at select intersections with reporting of level of service, etc.?
Is there any traffic data available within the project area outside of data within the 2019 Quaker Street study?
There are counts from other areas in Lincoln but they are not applicable to the Village Center area.

The RFP notes that up to 2 counts can be conducted by ACRPC. Is it anticipated that any traffic data will need to be collected as part of this study by the consultant (e.g. turning movement counts, automatic traffic recorders, speed data, etc.); and, if so, can you indicate what sort of data the consultant should anticipate to gather?

The consultants should determine the data they need to produce a concept plan for this project. Anything beyond the 2 counts conducted by the ACRPC, the consultants should budget for.

2. The link in the RFP scope of work for Task B "2019 traffic study on Quaker St." hyperlinks to the State's traffic data website. Can we get a digital copy of the 2019 traffic study on Quaker Street? Is it anticipated that a similar level of tasks to that of the 2019 Quaker Street study will be needed as part of this project?
The data from the 2019 study are available at this link. The consultant can determine if a similar level of data collection is needed to complete the traffic calming plan.

3.Task D, Develop Draft Concept notes that the consultant will develop a draft traffic calming plan that is supported by community input, but there are no community outreach tasks identified prior to this point in the RFP. Can you elaborate on the expected level of community outreach for this project (e.g., local concerns meeting, community survey, other outreach avenues)?
ACRPC defers to the consultant in identifying the means to engage the community; public meetings, survey, or other outreach methods, however, some level of community engagement is needed.

4. The RFP tasks listed do not suggest that the study will include looking at a variety of traffic calming measure alternatives, only that a traffic calming plan will be developed. Is it assumed that there will first be alternatives that are developed, discussed at public meeting(s), and evaluated, or is the expectation that this project will only be coming up with 1 "traffic calming plan", which may be broken into phases and/or demonstration projects. *The goal is to present a draft plan (Task E) that best responds to the site, issues and concerns of the problem. Revisions, if appropriate, will be incorporated into*

the draft traffic calming plan. A final traffic calming plan will then be developed based on feedback from the town, community and stakeholders (Task F).

5. Would it be possible to get the limits of the road segments highlighted on the map in the RFP? Also, are shorter road segments within this area included as part of the study area (for example, Creamery Street, Clark Road, etc.)?
Yes, see site map with highlighted roads linked here.

6. We assume the expectation of potential traffic calming is limited to areas that are currently paved (other than new signs needed associated with traffic calming measures); therefore, we assume that environmental, historic, and archaeological resources will not be reviewed as part of this project. Is that correct?
Yes

7. The RFP does not discuss inclusion of providing cost estimates for traffic calming measures. We assume that this should be incorporated into the draft and final reports as we understand this can be an important factor in these projects. Is that a correct assumption, or is this not necessary?
We do not feel a full cost estimate is necessary.
Conceptual cost estimates can be provided for proposed traffic calming measures, e.g., High-$$$, Medium- $$, Low-$